
ANALYSIS OF THE DETERMINANTS OF CRIME IN JAMBI PROVINCE

Ahmad Soleh

Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Muhammadiyah Jambi
ahmad.soleh@umjambi.ac.id

Yunie Rahayu

Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Muhammadiyah Jambi
yunie.rahayu@umjambi.ac.id

Sedat Balikaya

Istanbul University, Turkey
sedat.balikaya@istanbul.ac.id

Abdul Wahid

Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Muhammadiyah Jambi
abdulwahid@gmail.com

Prima Audia Daniel

primaaudia1@gmail.com

University of Muhammadiyah Jambi

Aguston Kurniawan

aguston.k@gmail.com

University of Muhammadiyah Jambi

ABSTRAK

A person's limitations to meet daily needs caused by the lack of resources they have make some people commit unlawful acts because the purpose of this research is to find out and analyze the relationship and influence of Economic Growth, Unemployment, and Education on Criminals in Jambi Province in the 2013-2022 period. This study uses the Quantitative Correlation and Descriptive Analysis method. The Correlation Analysis Method was used to measure how much the relationship between each variable, namely Economic Care, Unemployment, Education and Criminalization in Jambi Province for the period 2013-2022. And the quantitative descriptive analysis method was used to measure how much influence the variables of economic growth, unemployment, education and crime in Jambi Province in the 2013-2022 period.

The results of the study show that the Correlation Test of Economic Growth (X1) with Crime (Y) is 0.778 where the correlation number This means that Economic Growth has a relationship with Crime in the Strong closeness category. Unemployment (X2) with Crime (Y) is 0.799 where $r = 79.9\%$ correlation figure This means a category of strong closeness. education (X3) with Crime (Y) of 0.692 where $r = 69.2\%$ correlation rate This means that education has a relationship with crime in Jambi Province of the strong closeness category From the research conducted using a quantitative test which shows the results of regression analysis that the economic growth variable (X1) has a coefficient of -0.021 with a significance value of 0.026, which means that there is a negative and significant relationship between economic growth and the level of Crime.

Keywords: Economic Growth, Unemployment, Education, Crime.

INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is an archipelagic country that is very rich socioculturally. The diversity of cultures, ethnicities, religions, races, and social groups that belong to them is an important asset in national development. This diversity, if managed in an inclusive and equitable manner, can be a great social force in strengthening social cohesion and supporting community welfare. In this context, cultural practices and social norms not only reflect collective identities, but also play an important role in regulating social interactions and distributing resources in a socio-economic manner. Culture as a value system and social practice also shapes the way society interprets welfare and responds to social inequality (Geertz, 1973; Hofstede, 2010).

However, the dynamics of socio-economic life in Indonesia show serious challenges in realizing equitable welfare. Public discourse often highlights the low level of public welfare in various regions, which in many cases goes hand in hand with an increase in crime rates. This phenomenon becomes increasingly complex in the contemporary era, where society is faced with social transformation due to rapid technological and economic developments. Technological advances that on the one hand increase the efficiency of life, on the other hand cause a lot of social and psychological pressure. Societies are required to be able to adapt quickly to these changes, while not all individuals have the same capacity and opportunity to do so.

According to Maulana (2014), the mismatch between materialistic aspirations instilled by modern consumptive culture and limited economic capabilities can give birth to social frustration. This frustration, if not addressed through healthy social channels, can encourage individuals to commit criminal acts as a shortcut to fulfill needs or desires. In the perspective of strain theory developed by Merton (1938), this condition shows an imbalance between cultural goals and legitimate means to achieve them.

Crime itself is a multidimensional social problem. In addition to harming victims directly in the form of material, physical, and emotional losses, crime also burdens society collectively. The economic burden due to crime includes the costs of law enforcement, the judicial system, security, and decreased labor productivity (Pasiza et al., 2018). Therefore, the success of a country's development is not only

measured by economic growth, but also by the level of security and social justice that can be realized.

Crime data in Jambi Province during the period 2013 to 2022 shows significant fluctuations. Based on data from the Central Statistics Agency (BPS, 2023), crime cases reached their peak in 2015 with a total of 8,892 cases. After declining in the following years, the crime rate increased again in 2022 to 3,614 cases. These fluctuations reflect the instability of socio-economic conditions in the area and require serious attention from various stakeholders.

As a concrete illustration, the case of motorcycle theft involving students in Tanjung Jabung Barat Regency in April 2024 as reported by Metro Jambi (Siswono, 2024), reflects the link between consumptive desires, lack of financial literacy, and weak social control. Criminal acts like this show that age, social environment, and economic pressures play a role in increasing the risk of adolescent involvement in criminal acts.

The phenomenon of crime cannot be separated from the condition of public welfare in general. Luthfi (2018) emphasized that a sense of security is the main indicator in assessing community welfare. Security is a prerequisite for people to live productively, interact healthily, and participate in development. Therefore, the high crime rate can be a reflection of the low quality of life of a community.

One of the roots of low public welfare is poverty. Poverty not only describes material deprivation, but also reflects limited access to education, health services, decent work, and participation in social life. In some cases, this inequality encourages individuals to take shortcuts through criminal acts in order to survive (Febrianti, 2021).

Welfare indicators according to BPS (2015) include per capita income, household consumption, housing conditions, access to education and health services, and the availability of transportation infrastructure. Low welfare often goes hand in hand with income inequality, which in turn widens social gaps and triggers horizontal and vertical conflicts.

Regarding economic growth in Jambi Province, BPS data shows that there are significant dynamics. The Gross Regional Domestic Product (GDP) increased from Rp111.77 trillion in 2013 to Rp161.73 trillion in 2022, with an average annual

growth of around 4.49%. However, in 2020 there was an economic contraction of -0.51% due to the COVID-19 pandemic, which significantly reduced household economic capacity and increased the unemployment rate.

The high unemployment rate is an important variable in the analysis of crime. Based on BPS data (2023), the number of unemployed in Jambi Province reached the highest figure in 2020 of 93,990 people, and although it decreased to 86,459 people in 2022, this figure still shows a structural burden on the regional economy. High unemployment not only lowers household income, but also increases the potential for social tension and criminality as a form of deviation from the established social system (Wicaksono, 2023).

On the other hand, educational factors play a central role in shaping individual behavior and people's economic opportunities. BPS data shows that the average length of school in Jambi Province increased from 7.80 years in 2013 to 8.68 years in 2022. While this increase is commendable, the figure is still below the minimum standard for completing high school. Low education limits people's access to formal employment, increases vulnerability to social exclusion, and reduces the ability of individuals to make rational decisions based on legal norms (Wicaksono, 2023).

In this framework, the interaction between social variables such as education, unemployment, poverty, and economic growth with crime becomes very relevant to be examined in depth. Previous studies have shown that the relationship between crime rates and socio-economic variables is complex and contextual, depending on the demographic, structural, and cultural conditions of an area (Becker, 1968; Ehrlich, 1973). Therefore, data-based empirical analysis specific to the Jambi Province region is important in formulating targeted and evidence-based crime prevention strategies.

Taking into account this complexity, this study was conducted to analyze the determinants of crime in Jambi Province. The main objective of this study is to identify the socio-economic determinants that contribute to the increase in crime, as well as to evaluate the extent to which economic and social dynamics in the last decade have influenced crime patterns in the region. The results of the research are expected to make a theoretical and practical contribution, especially in the

formulation of regional development policies that are inclusive, responsive to inequality, and oriented towards social justice.

Based on this background, this study will identify socio-economic determinants that contribute to the increase in crime, as well as evaluate the extent to which economic and social dynamics in the last decade have affected crime patterns in this region. The results of the research are expected to make a theoretical and practical contribution, especially in the formulation of regional development policies that are inclusive, responsive to inequality, and oriented towards social justice.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATION

This research draws on several key theoretical approaches that explain the root causes of crime from a multidisciplinary perspective. First, the theory of the social environment states that criminal behavior does not solely originate from the individual, but is greatly influenced by the conditions of the surrounding environment, both the family environment and the community at large. Social, economic, and cultural factors in the environment have a significant contribution to shaping a person's tendency to commit crimes. Second, socialist theory emphasizes that crime arises as a consequence of inequality and economic pressure in society. Social injustice, resource distribution gaps, and unequal life opportunities, encourage marginalized individuals to choose criminal paths as a form of resistance to unjust social structures.

In this theoretical framework, criminality is understood not simply as a violation of the law, but as a product of a complex interaction between internal and external factors. Internal factors include psychological conditions, personality traits, intelligence levels, as well as biological factors such as genetic predisposition. Meanwhile, external factors include economic pressure, weak social control, low education, dysfunctional religious values, and the influence of media and reading that stimulate deviant behavior. In line with that, modern criminological theories such as sociogenic theory, subcultural delicacy, and criminal economic theory state that crime is the result of systemic dysfunction in society, where poverty, unemployment, urbanization, and unequal social structures become fertile ground

for crime to develop. Therefore, understanding criminality requires an integrative approach, encompassing biological, psychological, and social dimensions that interact with each other in specific structural contexts.

METHODOLOGY

This study uses qualitative and quantitative descriptive analysis methods with an exploratory approach. The type of data used in this study is secondary data sourced from the Central Statistics Bandan (BPS) of Jambi Province and the publication of Indonesian crime statistics during the 2013-2022 period. In data collection, documentation techniques were used, literature studies and literature studies of previous research.

The analysis tool used in the study is person correlation which is an analysis to see the relationship between variables in the research. With the following formulation:

Correlation of Economic Growth and Crime

$$r_{yx} = \frac{\sum(YX_1) - (\sum X_1) \cdot (\sum Y)}{\sqrt{\{N \cdot \sum X_1^2 - (\sum X_1)^2\} \cdot \{N \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2\}}}$$

r_{yx} = Correlation Figures Between Economic Growth and Crime

N = Number of samples

$\sum X_1$ = Total Economic Growth

$\sum Y$ = The Number of Crimes

$\sum X_1^2$ = Sum squared Economic Growth

$\sum Y^2$ = Number of Crime Squares

$\sum XY$ = The sum of the multiplication between Economic Growth and Crime

The Correlation of Unemployment and Crime

$$r_{yx} = \frac{\sum(YX_2) - (\sum X_2) \cdot (\sum Y)}{\sqrt{\{N \cdot \sum X_2^2 - (\sum X_2)^2\} \cdot \{N \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2\}}}$$

r_{yx} = The Correlation Between Unemployment and Crime

N = Number of samples

$\sum X_2$ = Total Unemployment

$\sum Y$ = The Number of Crimes

$\sum X_2^2$ = Total square Unemployment

$\sum Y^2$ = Number of Crime Squares

$\sum XY$ = Number of Results of Multiplication between Unemployment and Crime
The Correlation of Education and Crime

$$r_{yx} = \frac{\sum(YX_3) - (\sum X_3) \cdot (\sum Y)}{\sqrt{\{N \cdot \sum X_3^2 - (\sum X_3)^2\} \cdot \{N \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2\}}}$$

r_{yx} = The correlation between education and crime
 N = Number of samples
 $\sum X_3$ = Number of Education
 $\sum Y$ = The Number of Crimes
 $\sum X_3^2$ = Number of education squares
 $\sum Y^2$ = Number of Crime Squares
 $\sum XY$ = Number of results of multiplication between Education and Crime

Furthermore, to analyze the influence of economic growth, unemployment and education variables on crime, multiple regression analysis tools were used with the following formulation model:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot X_1 + \beta_2 \cdot X_2 + \beta_3 \cdot X_3 + e$$

Information :

Y = Crime
 β_0 = Konstanta
 X_1 = Economic Growth
 X_2 = Unemployment
 X_3 = Education

In analyzing with multiple regression, it is followed by conducting a t-test, an F test and an R2 test.

RESULT

The relationship between economic growth, unemployment and education to crime.

Based on the results of the correlation analysis, the following results were obtained:

Table 1. Correlation Processing Results

Correlations					
		Crime	Economic Growth	Unemployment	Education
Crime	Pearson Correlation	1	-.778**	-.692*	-.692*
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.008	.027	.027
	N	10	10	10	10
Economic Growth	Pearson Correlation	-.778**	1	.506	.506
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.008		.136	.136
	N	10	10	10	10
Unemployment	Pearson Correlation	-.799**	.260	.577	.577
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.006	.467	.081	.081
	N	10	10	10	10
Education	Pearson Correlation	-.692*	.506	1	1

	Sig. (2-tailed)	.027	.136		
	N	10	10	10	10
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).					
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).					

From the results of the analysis in figure 1, it can be seen that there is a strong correlation between Economic Growth (X1), between Unemployment (X2) and Education (X3) and Crime with a value of 0.778, for economic growth, 0.799 for unemployment and 0.692 for education, respectively. This condition shows that when there is a decline in economic growth, an increase in unemployment and a decrease in education levels will encourage an increase in crime.

The effect of economic growth, unemployment and education on crime.

From the results of the data analysis, the results of the study were obtained that the level of education had a negative and significant effect while the variables of economic growth and unemployment had a negative but not significant effect.

Table 2. Regression Processing Results

Coefficients ^a					
Unstandardized Coefficients			Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
Model	B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	11.284	1.156	9.762	<.001
	Economic Growth	-.021	.007	-.2931	.026
	Unemployment	-.971	.328	-.2958	.025
	Education	-.327	.070	-.4697	.003
a. Dependent Variable: Kriminalitas					

Thus, it can be formulated into:

$$Y = 11.284 - 0.021X_1 - 0.971X_2 - 0.327X_3 + e$$

The results can be interpreted as follows:

1. The value of the β_0 coefficient (Constant) of 11,284 means, if the variables of Economic Growth (X1), Unemployment (X2), and Education (X3) in Jambi Province do not change or with constant assumptions, then Crime (Y) in

Jambi Province increases by 11.284 percent.

2. The regression coefficient of the Economic Growth variable (X1) was obtained with a value of -0.021, meaning that every time there is an increase in the X1 variable (Economic Growth) by 1%, it will reduce Jambi Province Crime by -0.974 percent. This condition occurs as a result of the economic decline of the community at large which has an impact on declining welfare. So that to meet the needs of life as a result of economic difficulties will trigger people to commit criminal acts.
3. The regression coefficient of the Unemployment variable (X2) was obtained with a value of -0.971, meaning that every time there is an increase in the X2 variable (Unemployment) by 1%, it will reduce Jambi Province's Crime by 0.971 percent. This condition occurs because high unemployment will increase poverty and decrease welfare and meet needs so that unemployed people will tend to commit criminal acts.
4. The regression coefficient of the Education variable (X3) was obtained with a value of -0.327, meaning that every time there is an increase in the X3 variable (Education) by 1%, it will reduce Jambi Province's Crime by 0.327 percent. This condition occurs due to the low ability to think, analyze and distinguish good and correct behavior as a result of the low level of education from the community. So that it is difficult for people to understand the correct norms and rules and tend to commit crimes.
5. The R-squared value (R²) is 0.967. This means that around 96.7% of the increase or decrease in the number of poverty (Y) in Jambi City in 2013-2022 is influenced by the variables of Economic Growth (X1), Unemployment (X2), Education (X3). While the remaining 3.3% was explained by other variables that were not included in the study.

CONCLUSION

The results of the study show that crime in Jambi Province has a significant negative relationship with economic growth, unemployment, and education. This means that declining economic growth, increasing unemployment, and low education tend to increase crime. Among the three, education has the most

significant influence on crime. The R^2 value of 96.7% indicates that the three variables together have a great influence on the crime rate.

Based on the results of the study, the government needs to improve access and quality of education as a long-term effort to prevent crime; Employment expansion and skills training must be optimized to reduce the unemployment rate; Economic growth must be directed at improving the welfare of the community equally; and conducting follow-up research should include other social variables for more comprehensive results.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aranthya, P. D., Prihanto, P. H., & Parmadi, P. (2018). *Analisis faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi tingkat kriminalitas pada kabupaten/kota di Provinsi Jambi (suatu pendekatan ekonomi)*. E-Jurnal Ekonomi Sumberdaya Dan Lingkungan, 7(2), 68–82. <https://doi.org/10.22437/jels.v7i2.11931>
- Badan Pusat Statistik. (2023). *Statistik Kriminal*. Badan Pusat Statistik, 021, 162.
- Basri, H., Syaparuddin, S., & Junaidi, J. (2013). Pemetaan Kinerja Pendapatan Asli Daerah dan Kemampuan Keuangan Daerah Kabupaten/Kota di Provinsi Jambi. *Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah*, 1(2), 81–90.
- Desmaryani, S., Soleh, A., & Wiarta, I. (2024). Integration of technology acceptance models and government support to improve digital literacy. *Heliyon*, 10(14).
- CANDERA, M., WIARTA, I., HUSTIA, A., & IWAN, E. P. (2021). Macroeconomic Variables and Capital Market Performance: Evidence in Asia Pacific Region. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research* (09752366), 13(2).
- Febrianti, F. (2021). *Analisis Tingkat Kesejahteraan Masyarakat Kota Medan Berdasarkan Standart Kesejahteraan*. Skripsi Fakultas Ekonomi Dan Bisnis. UINSU.
- Franita, R., & Fuady, A. (2019). *Analisa Pengangguran Di Indonesia*. Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan Sosial, 2, 88–93.
- Hachica, E., & Triani, M. (2022). Ecosains : *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi dan Pembangunan Pengaruh Pendidikan , Pengangguran dan Kepadatan Penduduk Terhadap Kriminalitas di Indonesia*. 11, 63–70.
- Hamid, S., & Rusmawan, T. (2022). *Peran Pendidikan dalam Penguatan Kriminologi Hukum (Strategi Pencegahan dan Rehabilitasi Tindak Pidana)*. Jurnal Pendidikan Tambusai, 6(3), 17145–17155.
- Hermawati, A., Rosita, R., Fatmawati, E., Nasrul, H. W., Wibowo, T. S., & Ali, S. (2023). The Work Spirit Of Mediator Employees: The Influence Of Compensation And Work Environment On The Performance Of Employees At Nusantara Logistics Higher Education. *Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen*, 21(4), 928–942.
- Herlin, F., Zamzami, Z., Safri, M., & Syahmardi, Y. (2022). Improvement strategy for regional financial performance affecting macroeconomics in regency/city in

- Jambi province. *International Journal of Research in Business & Social Science*, 11(8), 401-408.
- Hidayat, A. M., Hadiyanto, F., Irmanelly, I. M., & Soleh, A. (2021). THE EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATES ON FOREIGN DEBT AND ITS IMPACT ON INDONESIA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH. *Rigeo*, 11(10).
- Hidayat, M. S., & Herlin, F. (2019). Fiscal decentralization and its impact on industrial development in Jambi Province. *Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah*, 7(3), 297-304.
- Irmanelly, I., Amir, A., Zamzami, Z., & Syaparuddin, S. (2022). Economic growth inclusivity in Sumatra Province. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal (BIRCI-Journal)*, 5(1), 105-113.
- Jhingan, M.L. (2016) *Ekobomi Pembangunan Dan Perencanaan*. PT. Rajagrafido Persada. Depok
- Junaidi, & Junaidi, A. (2023). *Pengaruh produk domestik regional bruto dan pendidikan serta upah terhadap tingkat pengangguran*. Online) KINERJA: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Manajemen, 20(3), 455.
- Khairani, R., & Ariesa, Y. (2019). *Analisis Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Tingkat Kriminalitas Sumatera Utara* (Pendekatan Ekonomi). *Jurnal Kajian Ekonomi Dan Kebijakan PUBLIK*, 4(2), 99–110.
- Lutfhfi, A. M. (2018). *Analisis Pengaruh Kesejahteraan Masyarakat Terhadap Peredaran Uang Palsu Di Indonesia*. Skripsi Fakultas Teknologi Industri Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember.
- Maarif, M. R. (2020). *Analisis Pengaruh Kriminalitas, Anggaran Pendidikan, Dan Kepadatan Penduduk Terhadap Kemiskinan Di 9 Kota Provinsi Jawa Timur Tahun 2014 – 2018*. Skripsi, UIN Syarif Hidayatullah (Vol. 5, Issue 3).
- Mahdiya, S. H. (2024). *Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Tingkat Kriminalitas di Indonesia Tahun 2015-2021*. Universitas Islam Indonesia Fakultas Bisnis Dan Ekonomika.
- Maulana, T. (2014), *Pengaruh Umur, Pendidikan, Pendapatan, Dan Jumlah Tanggungan Terhadap Tingkat Kejahatan dan Pencurian*, Skripsi Serjana Fakultas Ekonomi Daan Bisnis Universitas Diponogoro. Semarang
- Muchsinin, & Rahmawati, T. (2020). *Teori Hipotesa dan Proposisi Penelitian*. *SCHOLASTICA: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan*, 2(2), 188–203.
- Musnaini, M., Suryani, L., Irsyad, M., Asrini, A., & Ketut Mudhita, I. (2022). Performance analysis of local brand organic cosmetics marketing as an effort to develop the Jambi regional economy. *Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah*, 10(4), 1-20.
- Ridwansyah, M., Desmaryani, S., Irmanelly, I., Musnaini, M., Suman, A., & Fazri, A. (2024). Identifikasi Dan Strategi Pengembangan Potensi Sub Sektor Perikanan Pada Kawasan Ekonomi Baru Di Provinsi Jambi. *Jurnal Kebijakan Perikanan Indonesia*, 16(1), 39-50.
- Soleh, A., Daniel, P. A., Tamtomo, H., & Rahayu, Y. (2022). Strategi dan Peran Muhamdiyah dalam Membantu Transformasi Peradapan Suku Anak Dalam (SAD) di Provinsi Jambi. *Kontekstualita*, 37(1), 69-78.
- Pasiza, R., Nugroho, S., & Faisal, F. (2018). *Analisis Jalur Faktor-faktor Penyebab Kriminalitas di Indonesia*. 1–8.
- Pangesti, A.D. Dan Prawo, N. (2018). *Analisis Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi*

-
- Penyerapan Tenaga Kerja Sektor Perdagangan: Studi Kasus Di 14 Kabupaten/Kota Di Jawa Timur Ajeng. Journal Of Economics Research And Social Science. 2 (2).*
- Rafida, Yeni. (2020). *Pengaruh Kriminalitas Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Sumatra Utara*. Jurnal Riset Ekonomi Pembangunan. No.2, Vol.5.
- Rahardjo. (2013). *Teori-Teori Pembangunan Ekonomi*. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu
- Rahmalia, S., Ariusni, & Triani, M. (2019). *Pengaruh Tingkat Pendidikan, Pengangguran, Dan Kemiskinan Terhadap Kriminalitas Di Indonesia*. Jurusan Ilmu Ekonomi Fakultas Ekonomi, 1(1), 21–36.
- Rosita, R., Haryadi, H., & Amril, A. (2014). *Determinan Ekspor CPO Indonesia. Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah, 1(4), 183-183.*
- Siswono, E. (2024). *Untuk Jajan dan Beli Baju, Pelajar di Tanjabbar Nekat Mencuri Motor Keluarga dan Teman, Begini Modusnya*. Metrojambi.Com.
- Sulandjari, K., Putra, A., Sulaminingsih, S., Adi Cakranegara, P., Yusroni, N., & Andiyani, A. (2022). Agricultural extension in the context of the Covid-19 pandemic: Issues and challenges in the field. *Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences, 20(1), 137-143.*
- Sultan, Rahayu, H. C., & Purwiyanta. (2023). *Analisis Pengaruh Kesejahteraan Masyarakat Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia*. Jurnal Informatika Ekonomi Bisnis, 5, 75–83.
- Susanti, E., & Rahardjo, E. (2018). *Hukum Dan Kriminologi*.
- Wibowo, R. A., & Kurniawan, A. A. (2020). *Analisis Korelasi Dalam Penentuan Arah Antar Faktor Pada Pelayanan Angkutan Umum Di Kota Magelang*. *Theta Omega : Journal of Electrical Engineering, Computer and Information Technology, 1(2), 45–50.*
- Widaryanti, W., Putra, A., & Timotius, E. (2021). The implications of digital transformation on developing human resources in business practice in Indonesian: analysis of the publication. *International Journal of Business, Economics and Management, 4(1), 157-164.*
- Wicaksono, A. S. (2023). *Analisis pengaruh faktor ekonomi terhadap kriminalitas di Kabupaten / Kota Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta*. Jurnal Kebijakan Ekonomi dan Keuangan 2(1), 50–57.
- Yuvanda, S., & Hidayat, M. S. (2022). Strategy in developing priority craft industry by using SAWSWOT Model in Jambi Province. *Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah, 10(5), 287-296.*
- Yuvanda, S., Zamzami, Z., & Safri, M. (2020). Development on the leading small and medium-sized industry (SMI): food industry in Jambi Province. *Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah, 8(3), 311-318.*
-